Surre	ey Wildlife Trust Res	sponses DCO Deadline 10	
	Question to:	Question:	Response
			a) The <u>Dartford Warbler</u> is not dependent on the adjacent woodland. They are dependent on the presence of sufficient
			low scrub (dwarf shrubs and gorse). They feed on a broad range of invertebrates found in this dwarf shrub and on the
			ground within it. Nightjars nest on heathland and recently cleared conifer plantations. They primarily forage over
			heathland but will seek out other invertebrate-rich habitats such as wetlands, grasslands and native deciduous
			woodland. At certain times, they may use pine woodland margins but not the woodland itself. Woodlarks are restricted
			to heathland and temporary clearings in forestry plantations. They nest in a grass tussock or heather bush. They forage
			on a broad range of invertebrates as well as plant material found on bare ground and in low, sparse heather and
			grasses. As such these three species are not tied to the invertebrate assemblage of the pine woodland. b) There are
			notable differences in the invertebrate species found in woodland and heathland areas, although there will be some
			species that can use both habitats. As detailed above the invertebrate assemblage is not critical to the SPA bird
		Please comment on: a) how dependent the breeding populations of Dartford warbler, European nightjar and Woodlark (the SPA's	population; what is far more important is the collective abundance of the total invertebrate assemblage than its
		qualifying features) are on the invertebrate assemblage present in the woodland adjacent to the M25 and A3 and which forms part	components. c) The global decline in invertebrate diversity and its bio-abundance is likely caused by a range of factors
		of the SPA. Do these qualifying features require particular species as part of their diet? Are they specialist or generalist in their	including climate change, pesticide use, atmospheric and light pollution, either impacting directly or indirectly via
		dietary requirements? b) whether there is any notable difference in the nature of the invertebrate assemblage found in the	habitat changes. The invertebrate species found within the pine woodland are generally non-specialist and therefore
		woodland and heathland areas of this part of the SPA, and if there is a notable difference what form does that take? c) what is the	less threatened (due to pine woodland being a sub-optimal, non-native habitat in Surrey) and we concentrate our
		sensitivity of the invertebrate assemblage present in this part of the SPA to the level of Nitrogen deposition? d) having regard to the	management on the rare and more highly-specialised species of the open heathland. d) We are confident that the
		predicted air quality levels within the various proposed SPA Enhancement Areas and Compensation Land areas, how confident are	habitat that is being created will offset any potential loss of food resource. It may be considered therefore that the
		you that they will be able to function so as to offset any potential loss in carrying capacity and/or food resource as a result of the	habitat being created is of far greater value to the SPA qualifying bird species than the pine woodland that is being
1.4.2	NE and Surrey Wildlife Trust (SWT)	Proposed Development?	cleared.
		NE and SWT Please submit a copy of the 2010-2020 Wisley and Ockham Management Plan, as referred to in paragraph 7.2.12 of the	
		Applicant's 'Habitats Regulations Assessment: Stage 2: Statement to inform appropriate assessment' [REP4-018]. Only one copy of	
	NE - LOWE	this document need be submitted and NE and SWT should decide between themselves as to which organisation is best placed to	
1.4.3	NE and SWT	submit it.	Available but a large file size. We will submit but please let us know if it is not received.
		Are the management prescriptions for the Ockham and Wisley Commons SSSI component of the SPA the same as for the other parts	
		of the SPA or are they component specific? If the management prescriptions are different for the Ockham and Wisley Commons SSSI	
1.4.4	NE and SWT	component of the SPA, please give examples of how they differ from the management prescriptions for other parts of the SPA.	SSSI or both SSSI/SPA) are managed in the same way. Woodland areas are managed according to a woodland plan.
·	IVE dilu SW I	component of the 31 A, please give examples of now they differ from the management prescriptions for other parts of the 31 A.	There are two plans within the Management Plan 1) Figure 8 Heathland on site 1948-2011. This shows heathland on
		Please provide a plan or plans showing the locations where woodland clearance has already taken place since 2010 or is planned to	site as it declined and was then restored. 2) Figure 9 The felling plan map. The works were not phased over five years
1.4.5	SWT	be undertaken within the SPA, pursuant to the implementation of the 2010- 2020 Wisley and Ockham Management Plan.	but were instead completed in three. This was completed before 2010.
		In your response [REP5-044] to the ExA's second written question 2.4.7 [PD-010] at your item f) you have commented 'An increase in	
		heathland area has been shown on this site to increase the SPA bird population'. Can you please advise whether your comment	The works undertaken over the last 20 years have substantially increased the heathland area for the three heathland
		refers to an increased number of the SPA's qualifying features of European nightjar, Woodlark and Dartford warbler and, if so,	specialists to use. We have attached a spreadsheet showing the recorded numbers between 2006 and 2018 by SWT.
1.4.6	SWT	provide any documentary evidence you have available substantiating that.	2019 and 2020 figures are available from HE/Atkins. There is a brief commentary on that document.